Safe Home Care Intervention Field Study

Who participated?
- 3 private home care provider agencies (full study)
- 2 elder service agencies (special pilot)
- 33 home care aides
- 8 nurse supervisors / care managers
- 5 liaisons; one at each participating organization
- 42 homes were surveyed

What services did the homes receive?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home health aide</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homemaking</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal care</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supportive home health aide</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled nursing care</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*including: delivered meals, medication assistance, laundry, other special program services

Our safety coaching intervention package, including the safety handbook, is available at: www.uml.edu/safehc

For more information please contact:
Pia Markkanen, ScD
Research Professor, Public Health Dept.
Zuckerberg College of Health Sciences
UMass Lowell
600 Suffolk Street, Suite 520
Lowell MA 01854
Tel: 978-934-4314

Thank you for supporting the Safe Home Care Project’s Intervention field study. Here are some key findings.
The Safety Coaching Package or “Intervention”
- Online training for agency managers: Motivational Interviewing (1 CEU)
  - Optional online training: Occupational Safety and Health Practice
- Safety handbook & coaching for clients: Preparing Your Home for Safe Home Care
  - Optional 4-minute video: Preparing Your Home for Safe Home Care
- Nurse manager provides the handbook and coaches the client on safety during the intake visit

Data Collected
1. Nurse managers completed 35 baseline and 34 follow up surveys for the intervention group.
2. Home care aides completed 204 safety surveys on 42 clients’ homes (approximately 5 surveys per home). These were a mix of clients with the standard intake process and clients who received safety coaching.

Findings from Nurse Managers’ Surveys
Safety coaching at baseline
- The vast majority of the clients (94%) were either very interested or maybe interested when coaching with the safety handbook.

Follow-up 1-2 weeks after coaching
- About 63% of clients had implemented safety changes. Nurse managers estimated that about ¾ of these clients might continue implementing safety changes in the future.

Nurse managers told us about benefits:
- “Clients [who received handbook & coaching] enjoyed the visuals and discussion with the nurse regarding handbook contents.”
- “It seems like the booklet was informative for elder, and the motivational interviewing assisted him with understanding what he could do better on his end in order to minimize service disruptions.”

And challenges:
- “The client’s attention was scattered at times as she had recently been discharged home from the hospital. ... which may be why she was slightly easily overwhelmed.”
- “... the client was willing to listen, but not willing to change anything”.

Findings from Aides’ Safety Surveys
When nurse supervisors used Motivational Interviewing with the safety handbook and reported that clients were thinking about or actively making safety changes, HC aides’ safety surveys reported fewer hazards in those clients’ homes.

Most common hazards reported by aides
First visit:
- Loose pets in the home (21% of homes)
- Clients not taking enough steps to protect aide from COVID-19 (17%)
- Requests for aides to do tasks outside their job duties (14%)
- Safety hazards in bathroom (14%)

Reports of these 4 hazards decreased over 5 visits, though our dataset was small so we could not establish statistical significance.

Across all visits: Clients smoked or vaped while aides were in the home (6%)